

molecular-orbital calculation (Segal, 1966; Pople & Beveridge, 1970), which gives +0.087 and -0.166 e for the charges on the C and N respectively. A more extensive experimental and theoretical comparison of the crystal energies of these two compounds would be a worthwhile undertaking.

We thank the National Science Foundation, the University of Minnesota Computer Center, and the University of Minnesota Graduate School for their support of this work.

### References

- BOEYENS, J. C. A. & GAFNER, G. (1969). *Acta Cryst.* **A25**, 411–414.  
 CHOW, Y. M. & BRITTON, D. (1974). *Acta Cryst.* **B30**, 147–151.  
 HOUSTY, J. & CLASTRE, J. (1957). *Acta Cryst.* **10**, 695–698.  
 POPLE, J. A. & BEVERIDGE, D. L. (1970). *Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill.  
 SCHOMAKER, V. & TRUEBLOOD, K. N. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **B24**, 63–76.  
 SEGAL, G. A. (1966). Program No. 91, Quantum-Chemistry Program Exchange, Indiana Univ.

## SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

*Contributions intended for publication under this heading should be expressly so marked; they should not exceed about 1000 words; they should be forwarded in the usual way to the appropriate Co-editor; they will be published as speedily as possible.*

*Acta Cryst.* (1977). **B33**, 1303

**The crystal structure of 6b,10b-dihydrobenzo[*j*]cyclobut[*a*]acenaphthylene, C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>12</sub>. Corrigenda.** By A. C. HAZELL and R. G. HAZELL, *Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark*

(Received 15 February 1977)

A printer's error in the paper by Hazell & Hazell [*Acta Cryst.* (1977), **B33**, 360–365] is corrected: In Table 2 the fractional atomic coordinates (reported as multiplied by 10E) are multiplied by 10<sup>4</sup>. Also, in Table 3 the units of T should be Å<sup>2</sup> × 10<sup>-4</sup> and those of L, (°)<sup>2</sup>.

All the relevant information is given in the abstract.

*Acta Cryst.* (1977). **B33**, 1303

**Bis(bis- $\eta$ -cyclopentadienylmolybdenumdi- $\mu$ -oxo)phosphorus hexafluorophosphate: erratum.** By KEITH PROUT, M. CLAIRE COULDWELL and ROGER A. FORDER, *Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Oxford University, 9 Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PD, England*

(Received 3 March 1977)

The abstract of Prout, Couldwell & Forder [*Acta Cryst.* (1977), **B33**, 218–221] contains a number of errors. The beginning of the abstract should read: **Abstract.** [(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>2</sub>Mo(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]PF<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>F<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>, *M<sub>r</sub>* = 692.2. Monoclinic, *C2/c*. *a* = 17.079 (9), *b* = 23.619 (13), *c* = 12.399 (7) Å,  $\beta$  = 117.02 (2)°, *U* = 4455.7 Å<sup>3</sup>, *D<sub>c</sub>* = 2.06 g cm<sup>-3</sup> for *Z* = 8. Mo *K*α radiation,  $\lambda$  = 0.71069 Å,  $\mu$  = 11 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The structure of the cation...

All information is given in the abstract.